THE HOLOTYPE OF MOCOA SPECTABILIS DE VIS, 1888. Memoirs of the Overnsland Museum 35(1): 34, 1994:-In a review of the skinks of the Saproscincus challengeri complex in southeastern Australia, Sadlier et al. (1993) claimed that Macaa spectabilis de Vis, 1888 was a senior synonym of Lampropholis basiliscus Ingram & Rawlinson, 1981, and thus the available name for the taxon. This was based on their identification of the 'lectotype' of Mocoa spectabilis (Queensland Museum specimen OMJ19743), which was declared by Wells & Wellington (1985). Sadlier et al. (1993) also identified the 'paralectotypes' as follows: QMJ255 and QMJ19742 = Soprascincus basiliscus, QMJ244 = S, galli Wells & Wellington, 1985, I do not disagree with the identifications of Sadlier et al. (1993). However, I disagree that QMJ255 and QMJ19742-3 were part of a type series for Mocou speciabilis: I assert there was only a holotype, QMJ244.

Firstly, de Vis's (1888: 819-820) description of *Mocoa* spectabilis was only of one specimen: multiple specimens were not mentioned. That is, there was a holotype, not syntypes, Secondly, as Covacevich (1971) noted, the measurements of QMJ244 fit those in de Vis's description. For example, de Vis's measurements and scale counts were as follows (with mine in brackets): pair of nuchals (pair), 7 supraciliaries (7), 22 midbody rows (22), fourth toe lamellae 20-24 (22-26), total length 134mm (134), head length 10.5 (10), body 42 (41), fore limb 10.5 (12), hind limb 18 (18) and tail 81.5 (83). This is nearly an exact fit and their is little doubt that this was the specimen de Vis (1888) had, and thus his holotype. Finally, QMJ244 is also listed as 'Type' in the Queensland Museum's register.

Wells & Wellington (1985) and Sadlier et al. (1993) did not say why they accepted syntypes for *Mocoo spectabilis*. However, I assume they — and Cogger et al. (1983) — were following Covacevich (1971) in her list of herpetological

type-specimens in the Queensland Museum.

Covacevich (1971) listed QMJ255 and QMJ19742-3 with QMJ244, as syntypes but did not give her reasons for the decision under the entry for *Mocaa spectabilis*. However, she did give her criteria for determination of type status in the introduction, one of which was 'Notation in the register indicating that the specimen is a "Type" (or "co-type", "syntype", etc.)'. QMJ255 was listed as 'Co-types' (originally 3 specimens: 2 re-registered as QMJ19742-3) in the Queensland Museum register on 27 August, 1912, and it would have been for this reason that she accepted these specimens as syntypes. However, this is the only evidence and it is not adequate. Article 72(b)(vii) of the International Code of Zoological

Nomenclature states that 'The mere citation of "Type" or equivalent expression, in a list of types, or in a catalogue of a museum, or on a label is not to be construed alone as evidence that a specimen is or is fixed as any of the kinds of types

referred to in this Chapter'

In summary, de Vis (1888) described Mocoa spectabilis from a single specimen, a holotype, which is QMJ244. The specimens of 5. basiliscus (QMJ255 and QMJ19742-3) were not his type material and the recent lectotype designation of QMJ19743 by Wells & Wellington (1985) is invalid. Thus Mocoa spectabilis is a senior synonym of the taxon previously known as Saproscincus galli Wells & Wellington, 1985 and S. spectabilis (de Vis, 1888) the valid name for the taxon. Also, S. basiliscus (Ingram & Rawlinson, 1981) remains valid.

Acknowledgement

I thank Peter Jell and Robert Raven, Queensland Museum, for reading the manuscript and Mr E.P. Wixted for advice on Latin.

Literature Cited

Cogger, H.G., Cameron, E.E. & Cogger, H.M. 1983. Amphibia and Reptilia. Zoological Catalogue of Australia 1: 1-313.

Covacevich, J. 1971. Amphibian and repute type-specimens in the Queensland Museum. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 16: 49-67.

De Vis, C.W. 1988. A contribution to the herpetology of Queensland. Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales (2)2: 811-826.

Ingram, G.J. & Rawlinson, P. 1981. Five new species of skinks (genus Lampropholis) from Queensland and New South Wales. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 20: 311-317.

Sadlier, R.A., Colgan, D.J. & Shea, G.M. 1993. Taxonomy and distribution of the scincid lizard Saproscincus challengeri and related species in southeastern Australia. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 34: 139-158.

Wells, R.W & Wellington, C.R. 1985. A classification of the Amphibia and Reptilia of Australia. Australian Journal of Herpetology Supplementary Series 1: 1-61.

G.J. Ingram, Queensland Museum, PO Box 3300, South Brisbane, Queensland 4101. Australia: 17 March 1994.